

REMARKS:

Claims 21-25 are in the case and presented for consideration.


The previously canceled Claims 1-10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious from Canadian Patent 2,142,195. Although the examiner has rejected Claims 22, 23, 26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, he has not mentioned Claims 21-27 in connection with the prior art.

In view of the finality of the last action, and in the interest of placing the application in condition for allowance, Amended Claims 21-25 have been filed with an RCE, and are drafted to try and place them in better form and to clearly define the invention over the prior art.

Accordingly, the application and claims are believed to be in condition for allowance, and favorable action is respectfully requested. No new matter has been added.

If any matters remain, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,


Peter C. Michalos
Reg. No. 28,643
Attorney for Applicant
(845) 359-7700

Dated: December 24, 2003

NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.
100 Dutch Hill Road
Suite 110
Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100

PCM:pae